

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,146	01/13/2005	Klaus-Dieter Hammer	2901886-000022	2776	
84331 Baker Donelso	7590 09/11/200 on Bearman, Caldwell &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Sixth Floor			KASHNIKOW, ERIK		
Washington, I	C 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,146	HAMMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ERIK KASHNIKOW	1794	

ERII	IK KASHNIKOW	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods: 	same day as filing a Notice of A es: (1) an amendment, affidavit vith appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the	e final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th 	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	.,		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,135(a). The date on whi have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office alter than 1 may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount on ned statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr			cause
 (b)	rm for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: There does not appear to be support for claim 5 specification has support for giveerol or proparediol. but the relection of record would still stand for the resssors. Smith reference is a teaching reference and it is not nee presently claimed invention. Further the fact that Krallm does not mean that PHB esters would not work in their! Smith reference for the reasons set forth in the previous	It not for glycerol and propaned presented in the last office ac- cessary for this secondary refe- mann et al. do not disclose PHE invention. One would be motivi	tiol. It is further noted tion. It is further point rence to contain all th Besters as a conventi- ated to add the PHB 6	that if entered ed out that the e features of the onal fungicide
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se 	ee attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) window the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo	are or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	ho ontorod
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	icient reasons why the affidavil	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the 	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/13. Other:	/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Rena L. Dye/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090902